

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DO

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. 09/770,385

01/29/2001

H. Daniel Dulman

M4065.0376/P376

7102

24998

7590

07/30/2003

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526

EXAMINER

MOHAMEDULLA, SALEHA R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1756

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

| •   | `   |   | ĺ.   |
|---|---|---|--|
| ·   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|   |   | 09/770,385  | DULMAN ET AL.  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   |   | Saleha R. Mohamedulla   | 1756   |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE f this communication app   | ars on the c ver sheet with   | the correspondence address   |
| A SH<br>THE<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If the<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>- Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |   |   |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/16/03   |   |  |
| 2a)⊠  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi   | is action is non-final.   |  |
| 3) <u>□</u><br>Dispositi  | Since this application is in condition for allowa<br>closed in accordance with the practice under<br>ion of Claims  |   |  |
| 4)🖂   | Claim(s) <u>1-19,21-27 and 30-35</u> is/are pending   | in the application.   |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   | vn from consideration.  |  |
| 5)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-18,21-27 and 30-35</u> is/are allowed.  |   |  |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) 19 is/are rejected.  |   |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |
|   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  | election requirement.   |  |
|   | The specification is objected to by the Examiner  |   |  |
|   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep  |   | Evaminer   |
| .0/   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | , ,   |  |
| 11)   | The proposed drawing correction filed on  |   |  |
| ,   | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep   |   | pp. over by the Examinor.  |
| 12) 🗌 .   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | •   |  |
|   | inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |  |
| <u> </u>  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1  | 19(a)-(d) or (f)   |
|   | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |   | ···(=) (a) or (i).   |
| <i>∽</i> /ι   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   | have been received  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   |   | lication No  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori   | , i   | <u> </u>   |
| * S   | application from the International Bur<br>see the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | •  |
| 14) 🗌 A   | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  | 19(e) (to a provisional application).  |
| 15) 🗌 🗚   | )  The translation of the foreign language prov<br>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   |   |  |
| Attachment  | • •   | _   |  |
| l) 🔲 Notice   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Info   | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |
| Patent and Tr<br>O-326 (Rev   | ademark Office<br>v. 04-01) Office Acti   | on Summary  | Part of Paper No. 9  |
| , <del>.</del>  | ,   | ,   | , a o. , apoi 110. o   |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-19, 21-27 and 30-35 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US# 5,747,196 to Chao et al.

Claim 19 is a product by process claim and is drawn to a mask with an opaque layer overlying a first layer of material over a substrate. Chao teaches a method of forming a phase-shift mask and a phase shift mask. In Figure 5A, a light-transmissive thin film 34 and a light-blocking thin film 37 are successively formed on the transparent substrate 31. In the preferred embodiment, the light-blocking thin film 37 is made of an opaque material such as chromium (col. 4, lines 40-50). Therefore, Chao teaches the structural limitations of the claim.

3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US# 5,633,103 to DeMarco et al.

DeMarco teaches a phase shifting mask. The mask includes a transparent substrate that supports a partially transparent, patterned layer of chromium oxynitride. This layer is a phase shifting layer (col. 5, lines 59-65). Then, a layer of chromium is sputtered onto the exposed

Application/Control Number: 09/770,385 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

areas of the patterned layer. DeMarco teaches that the chromium layer is sufficiently opaque (col. 6, lines 50-60). Claim 19 is a product by process claim and is drawn to a mask with an opaque layer overlying a first layer of material over a substrate. Therefore, DeMarco teaches claim 19.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-18, 21-27 and 30-35 are allowed. The prior art does not teach or suggest forming a first layer on a substrate, forming an opaque layer overlying the first layer where the opaque layer has an opening filled with a resist material residing over the first layer and defining areas of the first layer which are to be removed. The prior art does not teach or suggest providing the first material within the opening or deepened opening and over the opaque layer, directing a first exposure through the substrate to expose a portion of the first material, hardening or baking the exposed portion of the first material, directing a second exposure at said first material to remove unhardened portions, providing a second material over the opaque layer and hardened portions, performing a lithographic step on a portion of the second material overlying and bounded by the first material to expose and remove the portion of the second material, and etching the opaque layer underlying the exposed and removed portion of the second material.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant argues that claim 19 is allowable based on its dependence on claim 1. However, claim 19 is a product-by-process claim, while claim 1 is a process claim. Claim 19 is materially limited by structural features, and not process limitations. The only structural features

Tippiloulion control (unit

Art Unit: 1756

recited in claim 19 are drawn to a mask with an opaque layer overlying a first layer of material over a substrate. The prior art teaches this product. The process limitations in process claim 1 do not materially limit product claim 19. Therefore, Applicant's argument is not persuasive.

#### Action is Final

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Saleha Mohamedulla whose telephone number is (703) 308-1260. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff, can be reached on (703) 308-2464. The fax phone number for the organization where this

Art Unit: 1756

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310. The After Final fax phone number is (703) 872-9311. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

srm 🤝

July 26, 2003

MARK F. HUFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 5